Butcher series for Hamiltonian Poisson integrators through symplectic groupoids

Adrien Busnot Laurent¹ and Oscar Cosserat²

Abstract

We exhibit a new pre-Lie algebra in the framework of symplectic groupoids and, in turn, introduce a pre-Lie formalism of Butcher trees for the approximation of Hamilton-Jacobi solutions on any symplectic groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$. The impact of this new algebraic approach is twofold. On the geometric side, it yields algebraic operations to approximate Lagrangian bisections of \mathcal{G} using the Butcher-Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra and, in turn, aims at a better understanding of the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms of M. On the computational side, we define a new class of Poisson integrators for Hamiltonian dynamics on Poisson manifolds. Keywords: Butcher series, Poisson geometry, mathematical physics, geometric numerical integration, symplectic groupoids, Hamilton-Jacobi equation, pre-Lie algebra, Hopf algebra. AMS subject classification (2020): 16T05, 37J39, 41A58, 65L06, 70G45.

1 Introduction

1.1 Context

A Poisson bracket on a smooth manifold M equips the space of smooth functions of this manifold $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ with a Lie algebra structure $(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M), \{.,.\})$. Therefore, it is natural to ask about the existence of a Lie group integrating it. In the context of Poisson manifolds, there exists an extremely profitable approach to this question: instead of looking for an infinite-dimensional Lie group, one constructs a finite-dimensional Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} over M. This Lie groupoid turns out to have a natural symplectic structure. Therefore, symplectic Lie groupoids are the global counterpart to Poisson structures. They encode in particular three different aspects of Poisson geometry: foliation theory (the partition of any Poisson manifold into leaves), symplectic geometry (the geometry along any leaf) and Lie theory. Concerning the question of integrating the Lie algebra of smooth functions, there exists a group object keeping track of this integration inside the symplectic groupoid: the group of Lagrangian bisections. A major interest of symplectic groupoids in mechanics is the deep relation between Lagrangian bisections of the Lie groupoid \mathcal{G} and Hamiltonian dynamics on M.

Another interest of Lagrangian bisections lies in mathematical physics purposes. In [20], a formal correspondence is spelled between symplectic groupoids and C^* -algebra theory, where Lagrangian submanifolds are the elements of the non-commutative algebra. The groupoid inverse corresponds to the conjugation and the product law corresponds to the tensor product. There, Lagrangian bisections are unitary elements. At about the same time, the works [36, 46] started a research program on deformation theory and the quantisation of Poisson manifold through symplectic groupoids. This brought in turn a considerable attention on the topic [45, 12, 35, 32].

 $^{^1{\}rm Univ}$ Rennes, INRIA (Research team MINGuS), IRMAR (CNRS UMR 6625) and ENS Rennes, France. Adrien.Busnot-Laurent@inria.fr.

²Göttingen Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Office 021, Hauptgebaüde, Bunsenstraße 3-5, 37073 Göttingen - Germany. Oscar.Cosserat@mathematik.uni-geottingen.de

Symplectic groupoids have also been used for computational purposes. Indeed, the relation between Hamiltonian dynamics on M and Lagrangian bisections has been applied to the numerical approximation of Hamiltonian flows on Poisson manifolds [17]. The idea appears first in [26], while the case of fiberwise linear Poisson structures has been studied in [24]. More precisely, given any Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$, a Hamilton-Jacobi equation is used to relate its Hamiltonian flow $(\phi_t^H)_t$ to a smooth family of Lagrangian bisections $(L_t)_t$, provided that t is small enough. A truncation at any order of the solution of this Hamilton-Jacobi equation allows to recover the initially considered Hamiltonian dynamics in an approximated way, and this approximation has been proved to be numerically satisfying compared to traditional methods [19]. The relation with previous paragraphs lies at the comparison between the time-step in numerical purposes and the parameter of deformation in the mathematical physics context. An analogy seems to hold in-between both situations, and we expect tools from one field to become fruitful when applied to the other one.

With that respect, a colossal algebraic formalism has been developed since the sixties in order to deal with the approximation of solutions of ordinary differential equations. Butcherseries were first introduced in [6, 30] (see also [29, 42, 7]) for the study of order conditions for Runge-Kutta methods in numerical analysis. They were later applied successfully to a variety of different fields such as geometric numerical integration [33, 29], quantum field theory [16], rough paths [28, 31], or stochastic numerics [4, 37, 38, 5]. The modern approach to such algebraic formalism relies extensively on Hopf algebras [15, 41, 3, 2] that we shall identify in the context of Hamiltonian systems on Poisson manifolds.

Let us also mention that Hopf algebras have been used already to approximate geometric objects in Poisson geometry. A relation between deformation of symplectic groupoids and high-order Runge-Kutta numerical methods has been explained in [13] and formulated in terms of operads in [14]. Symplectic realisations are constructed using the Butcher group in [10], while [8] gave a detailed construction of local symplectic groupoids using Butcher series of Hamilton-Jacobi generating functions.

It is therefore natural to look for a proper algebraic formalism for the approximation of Hamiltonian dynamics on a Poisson manifold. This article answers this question and explores the algebraic, geometric, and computational consequences.

1.2 Content of the paper

In Section 2, we recall how the Hamiltonian dynamics of $H \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ is recovered by Lagrangian bisections of a symplectic groupoid \mathcal{G} of the Poisson manifold M through a Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Jets are used to introduce the involved groups and to deduce an approximation of the Hamiltonian dynamics at any arbitrary order. In Section 3, we provide a new pre-Lie combinatorial formalism to compute formal solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. We give two applications of this pre-Lie algebra formalism. First, we use in Section 4 the Butcher-Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra to provide a new injective group morphism from the characters of the Butcher-Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra to the group of jets of bisections at the identity section (see Theorem 4.3). In Section 5, we explain how this new algebraic formalism applies to high order approximations of Lagrangian bisections using Runge-Kutta numerical methods, which delivers as a by-product new Hamiltonian Poisson integrators.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Reminders on Poisson geometry

In this section, we give a concise summary of various notions of Poisson geometry. We do not intend to give any introduction of the topic. Instead, the reader may consult [40] about Hamiltonian dynamics and symplectic geometry and [21, 22] about Poisson structures and symplectic groupoids.

Let $(M, \{.,.\})$ be a Poisson manifold. In this article, we are interested in Hamiltonian dynamics on M: for any Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$, we study the differential equation on M

$$\dot{x}(t) = X_H(x(t)) \tag{2.1}$$

where $X_H: f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) \mapsto \{H, f\} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ is the Hamiltonian vector field¹ of H. Since one main motivation of the present work is the construction of new numerical methods, let us recall the notion of Hamiltonian Poisson integrator.

Definition 2.1 ([19]). A Hamiltonian Poisson integrator for the Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ at order $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is a family of map $\varphi_t \colon M \to M$, $t \in I$ a small real parameter, with the following property: there exists a time-dependent Hamiltonian $(\tilde{H}_t)_{t \in I} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M \times I)$ such that φ is the time-dependent Hamiltonian flow of \tilde{H} . The Hamiltonian Poisson integrator is said to be of order k if for any test function $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$,

$$\forall \ 0 \leqslant i \leqslant k, \ \frac{\partial^{i}(f \circ \varphi_{t})}{\partial t^{i}}_{|t=0} = \frac{\partial^{i}(f \circ \varphi_{t}^{H})}{\partial t^{i}}_{|t=0}. \tag{2.2}$$

Symplectic methods are an important particular case of Hamiltonian Poisson integrators and are a major motivation for this work. Another remark is that $(\tilde{H}_t)_{t\in I}$ is an approximation of the Hamiltonian H of the same order as the integrator: $\tilde{H}_t = H + o(t^k)$. As we can see in the equation (2.2), Taylor series with respect to the time t play an important role in our context to count the order of approximation of a dynamics.

We introduce now a geometric space used to construct Hamiltonian Poisson integrators. To any Poisson manifold $(M, \{.,.\})$ is associated a local symplectic groupoid $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ over M (see [20, 36]). We write $\alpha \colon \mathcal{G} \to M$ and $\beta \colon \mathcal{G} \to M$ for the source and target maps respectively. The tubular neighborhood theorem of [44] provides a local model around the identity section of $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ to realize \mathcal{G} as a neighborhood of the zero section inside T^*M . With a slight abuse of notation, we keep the same letters: the tubular neighborhood is called \mathcal{G} and α and β denote again the resulting maps from \mathcal{G} to M.

Theorem 2.2 ([36]). There exists a tubular neighborhood $\mathcal{G} \subset T^*M$ of the zero section of T^*M and two surjective submersions α and β from \mathcal{G} to M such that

- 1. $\alpha \circ 0 = \beta \circ 0 = Id_M$, where $0: M \to T^*M$ is the zero section of the vector bundle T^*M ,
- 2. α is a Poisson morphism and β is an anti-Poisson morphism, where \mathcal{G} is equipped with $\{.,.\}_{\omega}$ the Poisson bracket of the canonical symplectic form on $\mathcal{G} \subset T^*M$,
- 3. α and β have symplectically orthogonal fibers: $\forall f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M), \{\alpha^* f, \beta^* g\}_{\omega} = 0.$

¹We denote derivations of $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ and vector fields the same way.

This realisation of the local symplectic groupoid inside T^*M was named birealisation in [17]. The zero section is the identity for the groupoid product. We emphasize that the symplectic form of $\mathcal{G} \rightrightarrows M$ becomes the canonical symplectic form ω . \mathcal{G} is therefore a Poisson manifold endowed with the Poisson bracket $\{.,.\}_{\omega}$. We also recall that the cotangent projection $\tau \colon \mathcal{G} \twoheadrightarrow M$ is in general different from the structural maps α and β .

Remark 2.3. A birealisation such that the groupoid inverse is the multiplication by -1 on each cotangent fiber is said to be "symmetric" in [14, rk 2.19]. Following [36], [8] gave a construction of a symmetric birealisation. Nevertheless, there exist birealisations that are not symmetric.

2.2 Hamilton-Jacobi equation for Hamiltonian Poisson integrators

In this section, we recall after [17] how a Hamilton-Jacobi equation allows to lift up Hamiltonian dynamics on M to a birealisation \mathcal{G} by describing Hamiltonian flows in terms of generating functions.

First, let us consider a dynamics that is a bit more general than Hamiltonian dynamics. Let θ be a 1-form $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$. Denoting by $\pi \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 TM)$ the bivector field of the Poisson brackets $\{.,..\}$, we write $X_{\theta} = \pi(\theta,\cdot) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ for the vector field generated by θ and ϕ_t^{θ} the flow of X_{θ} at time t. In the sequel, we always assume flows to be integrable. We recall the following standard properties [40, Chap. III].

Proposition 2.4. 1. For any $x \in M$ and for any time t, $\phi_t^{\theta}(x)$ belongs to the same symplectic leaf as x.

2. Let us assume that θ is closed. Then, ϕ_t^{θ} is a Poisson automorphism that admits any symplectic leaf as an invariant set and preserves θ . In equation, denoting \mathcal{F}_x the symplectic leaf of $x \in M$,

$$\forall x \in M, \phi_t^{\theta}(x) \in \mathcal{F}_x \text{ and } (\phi_t^{\theta})_*\pi = \pi \text{ and } (\phi_t^{\theta})^*\theta = \theta.$$

This classical result justifies the notion of Hamiltonian Poisson integrator. Indeed, following the flow of a time-dependent Hamiltonian guarantees to stay on a symplectic leaf and to preserve the Poisson structure.

Let us leave the case of general 1-forms on M apart and from now on, we assume θ closed. We state now the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.5 (Hamilton-Jacobi equation on a local symplectic groupoid, [17]). Let $\theta \in \Omega_0^1(M)$, I be a small open interval containing 0 and $(\zeta_t)_{t\in I} \in \Omega^1(M)^I$. For any $t\in I$, set

$$L_t = Graph(\zeta_t) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\varphi_t = \beta \circ (\alpha_{|L_t})^{-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then, $\forall t \in I$, $\varphi_t = \varphi_t^{\theta}$ if and only if

$$\zeta_0 = 0 \text{ and } \forall t \in I, \ \frac{\partial \zeta_t}{\partial t} = (\zeta_t)^* \alpha^* \theta.$$
(2.5)

2.3 Jets of Lagrangian bisections

In this article, we will develop tools to approximate solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation, e.g. (2.5), at high order with respect to the variable t. For this precise reason, we will need an appropriate notion of jets. In this section, we thus explain some geometry of the previous Hamilton-Jacobi equation using jets and Taylor series.

It will be useful in the sequel to keep in mind two properties of the graph L_t of ζ_t for small $t \in I$. First, since L_0 is the zero section, L_t is transverse to the fibers of α and turns the restriction of α to L_t into a diffeomeorphism $\alpha_{|L_t} \colon L_t \to M$. L_t is thus said to be a bisection². The set of bisections of a groupoid forms a group [12, Sec. 15.2]. In our local groupoid context, let us introduce the analog objects.

First, in our smooth setting, we need a notion of family of bisections, all being close to the identity section. They can be understood as smooth perturbation of the identity section.

Definition 2.6 (Smooth family of bisections). We denote by smooth family of bisections of \mathcal{G} the following data:

- a real open interval I containing 0,
- a family $L = (L_t)_{t \in I}$ of bisections of \mathcal{G} , where $L_0 = 0$ is the image of the identity section and the surjective map $\coprod_{t \in I} L_t \rightarrow I$ is a submersion.

Example 2.7. Since the fibers of α are transverse to the zero section, a generic example of smooth family of bisections of \mathcal{G} is provided by any smooth family of 1-forms $(\zeta_t)_{t\in I} \in \Omega^1(M)$ for some small interval I.

Now, we introduce a notion of ∞ -jets for such objects. To achieve this, let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{G})$ be a test function and L a smooth family of bisections. For any $t \in I$, let us set $\Psi_t = (\alpha_{|L_t})^{-1} : M \xrightarrow{\sim} L_t$. We consider the Taylor series at t = 0 of $f \circ \Psi_t : M \to \mathbb{R}$. This provides a map

$$\mathcal{J}^L \colon \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}) & \to & \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)[[t]] \\ f & \mapsto & f \circ \Psi_t \end{array}$$

where $f \circ \Psi_t \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)[[t]]$ stands for its Taylor series $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^j}{j!} \frac{\partial^j f \circ \Psi_t}{\partial t^j}|_{t=0}$ at t=0.

Definition 2.8 (∞ -jets of bisections of \mathcal{G}). The map $\mathcal{J}^L \colon \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)[[t]]$ is said to be the ∞ -jet of the smooth family of bisections L. In the sequel, we denote by \mathbb{B} the space of such maps:

$$\mathbb{B} = \{ \mathcal{J}^L \colon \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G}) \to \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)[[t]], \ L \ smooth \ family \ of \ bisections \}.$$

Example 2.9. Following example 2.7, if $L = (Graph(\zeta_t))_{t \in I}$, the data of the ∞ -jet of the smooth family of bisections L is equivalent to the one of the Taylor series of $(\zeta_t)_{t \in I}$ with respect to t at t = 0. With a slight abuse of terminology, we will then write that the jet of L equals the Taylor series of $(\zeta_t)_{t \in I}$ at t = 0.

 \mathbb{B} is a space of equivalence classes of smooth family of Lagrangian bisections. In the following, one defines naturally a product on \mathbb{B} . Let $L^1 = (L^1_t)_{t \in I}$ and $L^2 = (L^2_t)_{t \in J}$ two smooth families

²In general, the target map β is also required to be invertible on the submanifold $L \subset \mathcal{G}$ for L to be a bisection. Here, since t is assumed sufficiently small, this condition is automatically fulfilled.

of Lagrangian bisections. Locally on \mathcal{G} , there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that for $|t| < t_0$, the product $L_t^1 \cdot L_t^2$ is defined in the local symplectic groupoid \mathcal{G} . Then, we set the product to be the pointwise product with respect to the real infinitesimal parameter t:

$$\mathcal{J}^{L_t^1} \cdot \mathcal{J}^{L_t^2} : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}) & \to & \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)[[t]] \\ f & \mapsto & f \circ \left(\alpha_{|L_t^1 \cdot L_t^2}\right)^{-1} \end{array}.$$

The following property is a straightforward consequence of Definition 2.8 and is left to the reader.

Proposition 2.10. \mathbb{B} is a group, with the neutral element being the jet constantly equal to the identity section:

$$\mathcal{J}^{Id} \colon \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}) & \to & \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)[[t]] \\ f & \mapsto & f \circ 0 \end{array}.$$

Let us now remark a second property of the bisection L_t by adding the symplectic geometry up. Since ζ_t is closed, its graph L_t is Lagrangian in \mathcal{G} . This leads us to consider the space of jets of Lagrangian bisections. We denote it by $\overline{\mathbb{L}}$. Again, this set carries a natural structure.

Proposition 2.11. $\overline{\mathbb{L}}$ is a subgroup of the group \mathbb{B} of ∞ -jets of bisections.

Now, we recall from [20] that for any two L_1 and L_2 bisections of a groupoid, denoting $L_1 \cdot L_2$ the bisection being the product of L_1 and L_2 , the induced diffeomorphisms on the base verify

$$\left(\beta \circ (\alpha_{|L_1})^{-1}\right) \circ \left(\beta \circ (\alpha_{|L_2})^{-1}\right) = \beta \circ (\alpha_{|L_1 \cdot L_2})^{-1}. \tag{2.6}$$

In our context, the correspondence spelled by the Hamilton-Jacobi equation in Theorem 2.5 interprets as the direct relation inbetween the group $\overline{\mathbb{L}}$ and the dynamics generated by closed 1-forms on the base. Let us be more precise. Since the bisections $(L_t)_t$ are Lagrangian and close to the zero section of T^*M , the induced Poisson diffeomorphisms on the base manifold M $\beta \circ (\alpha_{|L_t})^{-1}$ are flows of time-dependent closed forms. It follows from Proposition 2.4 that these Poisson diffeomorphisms stay on a leaf of the symplectic foliation. Furthermore, as explained in the following remark, these closed forms are exact if and only if the Lagrangian bisections are graphs of exact one-forms.

Remark 2.12 (Generating functions). The closedness of θ is equivalent to the one of ζ_t for all $t \in I$. The same equivalence holds of course about exactedness and leads us to Hamiltonian dynamics. Let us assume θ to be exact and $H \in C^{\infty}(M)$ a Hamiltonian being a primitive of θ . As a consequence, there exists $S \in C^{\infty}(M \times I)$ such that $dS_t = \zeta_t$. Equation (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial S_t}{\partial t} = (dS_t)^* \alpha^* H + \chi(t) \\ dS_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (2.7)

where $\chi \in C^{\infty}(I)$ is an arbitrary time-dependent constant. In the following, we choose χ to be 0 and $S_0 = 0$. Using equation (2.4), the graph of dS recovers the Hamiltonian dynamics generated by H. S is thus said to be a generating function for H.

After a classical terminology for generating functions, let us call these Lagrangian bisections exact. Their jets form a group again.

Proposition 2.13. We set

 $\mathbb{L} = \{B^L \colon \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(G) \to \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)[[t]], L \text{ smooth family of exact Lagrangian bisections}\}.$

Then, \mathbb{L} is a subgroup of $\overline{\mathbb{L}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{J}^{L^1},\mathcal{J}^{L^2}:\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G})\to\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)[[t]]$ two jets of exact Lagrangian bisections. We show that $\mathcal{J}^{L^1\cdot L^2}$ is a jet of exact Lagrangian bisections. Using Remark 2.12 and the Hamilton-Jacobi correspondence of Theorem 2.5, there exists two time-dependent Hamiltonians $\tilde{H}^1, \tilde{H}^2 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M\times I)$ such that for any test function $f\in\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{G}), \mathcal{J}^{L^1}(f)=f\circ\phi_t^{\alpha^*\tilde{H}_t^1}\circ 0$ and $\mathcal{J}^{L^2}(f)=f\circ\phi_t^{\alpha^*\tilde{H}_t^2}\circ 0$. Now, the composition of two time-dependent Hamiltonian flows is a Hamiltonian flow.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}^{L^1 \cdot L^2}(f) &= f \circ \phi_t^{\alpha * \tilde{H}_t^2} \circ \phi_t^{\alpha * \tilde{H}_t^1} \circ 0 \\ &= f \circ \phi_t^{\alpha * \tilde{H}_t} \circ 0, \end{split}$$

where $\tilde{H}_t = \tilde{H}_t^2 + \tilde{H}_t^1 \circ \phi_t^{\tilde{H}_t^2}$. The same computation proves the existence of an inverse. Its neutral element is clearly the jet coming from the smooth family being constantly equal to the identity section.

As already mentioned, the importance of Lagrangian bisections in mechanics is due to their relation with Hamiltonian dynamics. We define the analog in our context of the Hamiltonian group of, e.g., [21, Def. 1.11.], and of the group of diffeomorphisms generated by closed 1-forms.

Definition 2.14 (∞ -jets Hamiltonian group). We call \mathcal{H} the group of ∞ -jets of pull-backs of time-dependent Hamiltonian flows:

$$\mathcal{H} = \big\{ \mathcal{F} \colon \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) & \to & \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)\big[[t]\big] \\ f & \mapsto & f \circ \phi_t^{\tilde{H}_t} \end{array}, \tilde{H} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M \times I) \big\}.$$

and $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ the group of ∞ -jets of pull-backs of flows generated by time-dependent closed 1-forms:

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \big\{ \mathcal{F} \colon \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M) & \to & \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)\big[[t]\big] \\ f & \mapsto & f \circ \phi_t^{\tilde{\theta}_t} \end{array}, \tilde{\theta} \in \Omega^1_0(M)^I \big\}.$$

where, as before, $f \circ \phi_t^{\tilde{H}_t}$ and $f \circ \phi_t^{\tilde{\theta}_t}$ stand for their Taylor series with respect to t.

By adding these definitions to the remark 2.12 on exact Lagrangian bisections, we obtain the following corollary of Theorem 2.5. The proof relies on the same interpolation technique as the one of Proposition 2.13 and is left as an exercise.

Corollary 2.15. There is a surjective group morphism from the group $\overline{\mathbb{L}}$ of ∞ -jets of exact Lagrangian bisections of \mathcal{G} to the group $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ of ∞ -jets of Hamiltonian flows of $(M, \{.,.\})$.

This morphism restricts to a surjective group morphism from the group \mathbb{L} of ∞ -jets of exact Lagrangian bisections of \mathcal{G} to the group \mathcal{H} of ∞ -jets of Hamiltonian flows of $(M, \{.,.\})$.

2.4 Approximations in the group of jets of Lagrangian bisections

One other consequence of Theorem 2.5 is the following corollary, yielding the existence of approximations of ϕ_t^{θ} at arbitrary order that preserve the Poisson geometry in the sense of Proposition 2.4.

Corollary 2.16. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\zeta_t^k)_{t \in I} \in \Omega^1(M)^I$. Set $(L_t^k)_{t \in I} = (Graph(\zeta_t^k))_{t \in I}$ and

$$\varphi^k_t = \beta \circ (\alpha_{|L^k_t})^{-1}, \ t \in I.$$

If for all $t \in I$,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \zeta_t^k}{\partial t} = (\zeta_t^k)^* \alpha^* \theta + o(k) \\ \zeta_0 = 0 \end{cases},$$

then $(\varphi_t^k)_{t\in I}$ is the flow of a time-dependent closed 1-form $(\theta_t^k)_{t\in I}\in\Omega^1_0(M)$ such that $\theta_t^k=\theta+o(k)$. In particular, $(\varphi_t^k)_{t\in I}$ is an approximation of $(\phi_t^\theta)_{t\in I}$ at order at least k such that

- for any $x \in M$, $\varphi_t^k(x)$ and x belong to the same symplectic leaf of $(M, \{.,.\})$,
- φ_t^k is a Poisson diffeomorphism for all $t \in I$.

The family of Lagrangian bisections $L^k = (L_t^k)_{t \in I}$ is an approximation at order k of the family of Lagrangian bisections $L = (L_t)_{t \in I}$ given by equation (2.3). The jet formalism of Section 2.3 provides a rigorous framework.

Proposition 2.17. With the notations of Corollary 2.16:

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}), \ \mathcal{J}^L(f) = \mathcal{J}^{L^k}(f) + o\Big(t^k\Big).$$

Using Corollary 2.16, this Hamilton-Jacobi equation has been applied to computational mechanics in [19]: the purpose was to truncate solutions of this equation to approximate Hamiltonian dynamics on the base. Indeed, if S_t^k is a solution of equation (2.7) at order k, then the map

$$\phi_{\Delta t}^k = \beta \circ \left(\alpha_{|\operatorname{Graph}(\mathrm{d}S_{\Delta t}^k)}\right)^{-1}$$

is a Hamiltonian Poisson integrator for H at order k and time-step Δt . This article is devoted to explain an algebraic formalism for the construction of high order Hamiltonian dynamics approximations. We also hope this to be of interest for a better understanding of the group of Lagrangian bisections of a symplectic groupoid and, in turn, a better understanding of the group of Poisson diffeomorphisms of a Poisson manifold. For that reason, let us consider an equation being a bit more general that (2.5). Namely, we consider the same one with a generic initial condition $\zeta_0 \in \Omega_0^1(M)$ such that its graph belongs to \mathcal{G} :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \zeta_t}{\partial t} = (\zeta_t)^* \alpha^* \theta \\ \zeta_0 \in \Omega_0^1(M) \end{cases}$$
 (2.8)

Regarding equation (2.6), equation (2.8) is of interest while one looks at composition of Hamiltonian flows on M. We will come back to that in Section 4.

Since we aim at understanding high order approximations of Hamiltonian dynamics on M, let us study truncated solutions of (2.8). For this, we introduce the Lie-algebroid bracket [.,.] on $\Omega^1(T^*M)$ defined by

$$[\zeta_1, \zeta_2] = \mathcal{L}_{X_{\zeta_1}} \zeta_2 - \mathcal{L}_{X_{\zeta_2}} \zeta_1 - d\omega(X_{\zeta_1}, X_{\zeta_2})$$

where X_{ζ_i} , i=1,2, are the vector fields on T^*M generated by the canonical symplectic form out of the 1-forms ζ_i . Out of the following lemma, this Lie bracket allows us to compute approximations at arbitrary order of Hamilton-Jacobi equation. In the following and all along this article, $\pi: T^*M \to M$ denotes the cotangent projection.

Lemma 2.18. Let $(\zeta_t)_{t\in I}$ and $(\xi_t)_{t\in I} \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})^I$ such that the graph of ζ_t is in \mathcal{G} for all $t\in I$. Then,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left((\zeta_t)^* \xi_t \right) = (\zeta_t)^* \left(\left[\xi_t, \pi^* \frac{\partial \zeta_t}{\partial t} \right] + \frac{\partial \xi_t}{\partial t} \right). \tag{2.9}$$

Similarly, for any $(S_t)_{t\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M\times I)$ and any $(f_t)_{t\in I} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(T^*M\times I)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left((dS_t)^* f_t \right) = (dS_t)^* \left(\{ f_t, \pi^* \frac{\partial S_t}{\partial t} \}_{\omega} + \frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} \right). \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. We start by proving equation (2.10). Let $x \in M$. It follows from classical symplectic geometry of the cotangent bundle that the curve $\gamma \colon t \in I \mapsto d_x S_t \in T^*M$ is the flow of the time-dependent Hamiltonian vector field of $\pi^* \frac{\partial S_t}{\partial t}$ starting at $d_x S_0$. Equation (2.10) is then a plain consequence of the chain rule. Since

$$\forall f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(T^*M), \ d\{f, g\}_{\omega} = [df, dg],$$

equation (2.9) is obtained by usual extension from smooth functions to exact 1-forms, and then from closed forms to generic 1-forms using the Leibniz rule.

Let us illustrate Lemma 2.18. The algebroid bracket [.,.] allows us to obtain iterated derivations of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (2.8). We spell out the order 2:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \zeta_t}{\partial t^2} = (\zeta_t)^* [\alpha^* \theta, \pi^* (\zeta_t)^* \alpha^* \theta]. \tag{2.11}$$

After applying Lemma 2.18 a second time, we obtain an order 3 derivation:

$$\frac{\partial^3 \zeta_t}{\partial t^3} = (\zeta_t)^* \left(\left[\alpha^* \theta, \pi^* (\zeta_t)^* \left[\alpha^* \theta, \pi^* (\zeta_t)^* \alpha^* \theta \right] \right] + \left[\left[\alpha^* \theta, \pi^* (\zeta_t)^* \alpha^* \theta \right], \pi^* (\zeta_t)^* \alpha^* \theta \right] \right). \tag{2.12}$$

3 A Pre-Lie approach to Hamiltonian Poisson integrators

The bisections of the local symplectic groupoid \mathcal{G} that are close to the identity section are described by graphs of time-dependent 1-forms, as $\mathcal{G} \subset T^*M$. We approximate them in the sense of jets by relating the notion of jets developed in the previous section to formal solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. In order to approximate a given bisection by 1-forms, we now introduce an appropriate space J_{ξ}^{∞} equipped with a pre-Lie algebra structure. We then explain how this pre-Lie algebra encodes expansions of solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (2.8) through the introduction of Butcher series. More precisely, Proposition 3.12 explains how J_{ξ}^{∞} stands for the space of Taylor coefficients of formal solutions of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. In Section 3.3, we study particular cases of birealisations where some algebraic simplifications arise if the initial condition is chosen to be zero, that is, if we start from the identity section of \mathcal{G} .

3.1 Pre-Lie formalism for Hamilton-Jacobi flows

Let us consider the fully general case of our Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Let $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$ and let us denote by $\Omega^{1,\mathcal{G}}(M)$ the subset $\{\zeta \in \Omega^1(M), \operatorname{Graph}(\zeta) \subset \mathcal{G}\}$ of the set of 1-forms on M. We are interested in the expansion of the general Hamilton-Jacobi flow

$$\frac{\partial \zeta_t}{\partial t} = \zeta_t^* \xi, \quad \zeta_0 \in \Omega^{1,\mathcal{G}}(M). \tag{3.1}$$

We set \mathcal{E} the vector space of maps defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{E} = \Omega^1(M)^{\Omega^{1,\mathcal{G}}(M)}$$

Example 3.1. ξ defines an element of \mathcal{E} , that we write again ξ , provided by the pull-back:

$$\xi \colon \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^{1,\mathcal{G}}(M) & \to & \Omega^1(M) \\ \zeta & \mapsto & \zeta^* \xi \end{array}.$$

Note that the map induced by ξ is of first order in ζ : it only uses the value of ζ and its differential. Four our purpose, we need maps of higher order, and this is the reason why we work on \mathcal{E} instead of only working with pull-backs of differential forms.

We introduce the maps

$$\eta_0: \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E} & \to & \mathcal{E} \\ f & \mapsto & f \end{array},$$

and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\eta_k \colon \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}^{\otimes k} & \to & \mathcal{E} \\ \eta_k \colon & f_1, \dots, f_k & \mapsto & \left[\zeta \in \Omega^{1, \mathcal{G}}(M) \mapsto \zeta^* [\dots [\xi, \pi^* f_k(\zeta)], \dots, \pi^* f_1(\zeta)] \in \Omega^1(M) \right] \end{array}$$

We consider the smallest real vector subspace $J_{\xi}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{E}$ fulfilling the following conditions:

- 1. $\xi \in J_{\xi}^{\infty}$,
- 2. for all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the image of the restriction of the map η_k to $(J_{\xi}^{\infty})^{\otimes k}$ is a subset of J_{ξ}^{∞} .

Example 3.2. The space J_{ξ}^{∞} admits in particular the elements $Id, \xi, \eta_1(\xi), \eta_2(\xi, \xi), \ldots$, and real linear combinations of such elements. Note that with this indice notation, $\eta_k(\xi, \ldots, \xi)$ is k+1-linear in ξ .

The space J_ξ^∞ is naturally equipped with the linear product \rhd defined as follows. For any $h \in J_\xi^\infty$,

$$h \triangleright \mathcal{E} = n_1(h)$$

and we extend \triangleright to an inner product on J_{ξ}^{∞} by linearity and the Leibniz rule: for any $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $h, f_1, \ldots, f_k \in J_{\xi}^{\infty}$,

$$h \rhd \left(\eta_k(f_1,\ldots,f_k)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_k(f_1,\ldots,h\rhd f_i,\ldots,f_k) + \eta_{k+1}(h,f_1,\ldots f_k).$$

Let us first observe the following.

Lemma 3.3. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ and $h_1, \ldots, h_k \in J_{\xi}^{\infty}$,

$$\eta_k(h_1,\ldots,h_i,\ldots,h_i,\ldots,h_k) = \eta_k(h_1,\ldots,h_i,\ldots,h_i,\ldots,h_k)$$

Proof. The proof follows from the equality

$$\forall \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}), \ \{\pi^* \zeta^* \gamma_1, \pi^* \zeta^* \gamma_2\}_{\omega} = 0,$$

and the Jacobi identity.

Now, we state a remarkable property of the product \triangleright . Namely, it endows J_{ξ}^{∞} with the following algebraic structure.

Proposition 3.4. The space $(J_{\xi}^{\infty}, \triangleright)$ is a pre-Lie algebra, that is, for all $f, g, h \in J_{\xi}^{\infty}$,

$$(f \rhd g) \rhd h - f \rhd (g \rhd h) = (g \rhd f) \rhd h - g \rhd (f \rhd h). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. The proof is a direct computation using the construction of the space J_{ξ}^{∞} . Let $f, g \in J_{\xi}^{\infty}$. We obtain $\eta_2(f,g) = \eta_2(g,f)$ from Lemma 3.3, which yields equation (3.2) in the case $h = \xi \in J_{\xi}^{\infty}$. By induction, let $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and h_1, \ldots, h_k such that for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,

$$(f \rhd g) \rhd h_i - f \rhd (g \rhd h_i) = (g \rhd f) \rhd h_i - g \rhd (f \rhd h_i).$$

Let $h = \eta_k(h_1, \dots, h_k) \in J_{\varepsilon}^{\infty}$. Then, a calculation yields

$$(f \rhd g) \rhd h - f \rhd (g \rhd h) = -\eta_{k+2}(f, g, h_1, \dots, h_k)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^k (\eta_{k+1}(g, h_1, \dots, f \rhd h_i, \dots, h_k) + \eta_{k+1}(f, h_1, \dots, g \rhd h_i, \dots, h_k))$$

$$- \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant i,j \leqslant k \\ i \neq j}} \eta_k(h_1, \dots, f \rhd h_j, \dots, g \rhd h_i, \dots, h_k)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_k(h_1, \dots, (f \rhd g) \rhd h_i - f \rhd (g \rhd h_i), \dots, h_k)$$

The induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.3 yield the result.

Remark 3.5 (J_{ξ}^{∞} and jet spaces). The space J_{ξ}^{∞} is analogous to the infinite jet space [1, 43, 39] on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ used for the analysis of Runge-Kutta and Lie-group methods. In [33, 29], the accuracy of numerical integrators is studied via the use of Taylor expansions of ODE flows. Given a vector field $f \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, the associated flow is expanded in terms of the partial derivatives of f at all order. The jet space over f is the vector space spanned by f, f', f'', We follow here a similar approach by fixing a one form $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$ and considering the iterated derivatives appearing in the expansion of the flow. As a result, we shall use flows whose Taylor expansion is written with repeated compositions of the operator \triangleright on the space J_{ξ}^{∞} . For $\xi = \alpha^* \theta$, $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$, we will use these flows to construct jets of bisections.

Lemma 2.18 provides an interpretation of the product \triangleright as a variational derivation in the sense of [43]: for instance,

$$(\xi \triangleright \xi)(\zeta) = \zeta^*[\xi, \pi^*\zeta^*\xi].$$

In that framework, considering jet spaces to iterate derivatives is therefore a natural idea. Let us also notice that the definition of \triangleright – as well as its definition domain J_{ξ}^{∞} – is tied to the choice of the form $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$. For instance, we raise the following remark.

Remark 3.6. Given two spaces $(J_{\xi_1}^{\infty}, \succ_1)$ and $(J_{\xi_2}^{\infty}, \succ_2)$ and a map $\varphi \colon J_{\xi_1}^{\infty} \to J_{\xi_2}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall (f_j)_{i \geqslant j \geqslant 1} \in (J_{\xi_1}^{\infty})^i, \ \varphi\Big(\eta_{i+1}\big(f_1, \dots, f_i\big)\Big) = \eta_{i+1}\Big(\varphi(f_1), \dots, \varphi(f_i)\Big),$$

one can show the following: φ is a morphism – that is $\varphi(f \rhd_1 g) = \varphi(f) \rhd_2 \varphi(g)$ – if and only if $\varphi(\xi_1) = \xi_2$.

Remark 3.7. Following [23, 27], the product \triangleright can be thought of as a flat connection on J_{ξ}^{∞} (see also the previous remark 3.5). A relation is expected in between the geometric interpretation of \triangleright and our use of a Weinstein tubular neighborhood, see, e.g., [11]. Indeed, the very existence of \triangleright is a consequence of the embedding of the local symplectic groupoid \mathcal{G} near its identity section inside T^*M .

As stated in Theorem 2.5, the Lagrangian bisections are represented by the solution of Hamilton-Jacobi equations of the general form provided by equation (2.8) and Hamiltonian Poisson integrators rely on efficient discretisations of the exact Hamilton-Jacobi equation (2.7). The present pre-Lie formalism allows to conveniently give an explicit expression of the Taylor expansion of the solution of equation (2.8).

Proposition 3.8. For $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$, the formal solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (3.1) satisfies

$$\zeta_{t} = \exp^{\triangleright}(t\xi)(\zeta_{0})$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \xi^{\triangleright n}(\zeta_{0})$$

$$= \left(\zeta_{0} + t(\zeta_{0})^{*}\xi + \frac{t^{2}}{2} \left(\xi \triangleright \xi\right)(\zeta_{0}) + \frac{t^{3}}{3!} \left(\xi \triangleright (\xi \triangleright \xi)\right)(\zeta_{0}) + \frac{t^{4}}{4!} \left(\xi \triangleright (\xi \triangleright \xi))\right)(\zeta_{0}) + \dots \right).$$
(3.3)

Proof. The result is proven by induction, in the spirit of [41].

3.2 Butcher series expansion of a solution of Hamilton-Jacobi equation

The expansion (3.3) is concise and simple as each order has only one Taylor term. However, we are left with the computation of the iterations of \triangleright . In this section, we further expand the Taylor expansion of (3.1), relying on a pre-Lie formalism of Butcher trees.

A non-planar Butcher tree in T is a rooted tree defined recursively by

$$\bullet \in T$$
, $(\tau_n, \ldots, \tau_1)_{\bullet} \in T$, $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_n \in T$,

where the root is graphically represented at the bottom. $(\tau_n, \ldots, \tau_1)_{\bullet}$ denotes the tree with the root $_{\bullet}$ and the n trees τ_n, \ldots, τ_1 plugged to the root. By non-planar, we mean that the order of the branches does not matter: for instance, $\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n}$. We set T the set of non-planar tress

and $\mathcal{T} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}(T)$ the real vector space generated by T. The grafting of trees \sim is defined as a product on T returning the sum of all possibilities (counted with multiplicity) of grafting the root of one tree on the nodes of another tree. For instance:

$$1 \Rightarrow 1 = V + 1, \quad \Rightarrow V = V + 2V, \quad V \Rightarrow \bullet = Y.$$

By extending \sim linearly on \mathcal{T} , this defines the pre-Lie algebra (\mathcal{T}, \sim) of Butcher trees. A natural grading on \mathcal{T} is given by the number of nodes: $|\mathbf{V}| = 3$.

The translation between the geometric structure $(J_{\xi}^{\infty}, \triangleright)$ and the algebraic structure $(\mathcal{T}, \curvearrowright)$ is obtained through the elementary differential map.

Definition 3.9 (Elementary differential map). Let $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$. The elementary differential map $\mathbb{F}^{\xi} \colon \mathcal{T} \to J_{\xi}^{\infty}$ associated to ξ is defined by

$$\mathbb{F}^{\xi}(\bullet) = \xi \text{ and for } \zeta \in \Omega^{1}(M), \ \mathbb{F}^{\xi}((\tau_{n}, \dots, \tau_{1})_{\bullet})(\zeta) = \zeta^{*}[[\dots[\xi, \pi^{*}\mathbb{F}^{\xi}(\tau_{1})(\zeta)], \dots], \pi^{*}\mathbb{F}^{\xi}(\tau_{n})(\zeta)].$$

The following result is a straightforward consequence of the definition of the product >.

Proposition 3.10. The elementary differential $\mathbb{F}^{\xi}: J_{\xi}^{\infty} \to \mathcal{T}$ is a pre-Lie algebra morphism:

$$\mathbb{F}^{\xi}(\tau_2 \rightsquigarrow \tau_1) = \mathbb{F}^{\xi}(\tau_2) \rhd \mathbb{F}^{\xi}(\tau_1).$$

This morphism allows to transport Proposition 3.8 and to rewrite it naturally in terms of trees. Let $(\zeta_t)_t$ be the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (3.1). Then, its expansion satisfies

$$\zeta_{t} = \mathbb{F}^{\xi} \left(\exp^{-\epsilon}(t_{\bullet}) \right) (\zeta_{0})$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n}}{n!} \mathbb{F}^{\xi} \left(e^{-\epsilon n} \right) (\zeta_{0})$$

$$= \mathbb{F}^{\xi} \left(\operatorname{id} + t_{\bullet} + \frac{t^{2}}{2} e^{-\epsilon n} e^{-\epsilon n} + \frac{t^{3}}{3!} e^{-\epsilon n} \left(e^{-\epsilon n} e^{-\epsilon n} \right) + \frac{t^{4}}{4!} e^{-\epsilon n} \left(e^{-\epsilon n} e^{-\epsilon n} e^{-\epsilon n} \right) + \dots \right) (\zeta_{0}).$$

Now, we use trees to encode explicitly the expansion exp \(^{\circ}\). The appropriate concept for such formal expansions is the one of Butcher series, often called B-series.

Definition 3.11 ([7]). The B-series associated to $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$ is the following formal power series indexed by a coefficient map $a \in \mathcal{T}^*$:

$$B^{\xi} \colon \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}^{*} & \to & J_{\xi}^{\infty} \\ a & \mapsto & \sum_{\tau \in T} \frac{a(\tau)}{\sigma(\tau)} \mathbb{F}^{\xi}(\tau) \end{array}$$

where $\sigma(\tau)$ is the number of graph automorphisms of τ , also called the symmetry coefficient.

We refer to [29, Sec. III] for an explicit formula of the symmetry coefficient. Note that for any $a \in \mathcal{T}^*$, $B^{t\xi}(a) \in J_{\xi}^{\infty}[[t]]$. Then, the Butcher series of the solution of a Hamilton-Jacobi equation is the following.

Proposition 3.12. Let $(\zeta_t)_t \in (\Omega^1(M))^I$ be the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (3.1). Then its Taylor expansion is given by

$$\zeta_0 + B^{t\xi}(e)(\zeta_0) \in \Omega^1(M)[[t]], \tag{3.4}$$

where $e \in \mathcal{T}^*$ is given by

$$e(\bullet) = 1, \quad e(\tau) = \frac{1}{|\tau|} e(\tau_1) \dots e(\tau_n), \quad \tau = (\tau_n, \dots, \tau_1)_{\bullet}.$$
 (3.5)

Using Section 2.3, this proposition is interpreted in terms of jets. Let us assume that $\xi = \alpha^* \theta$ for some $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ and $(\zeta_t)_{t \in I} \in \Omega^1(M)^I$ the solution of the equation (2.8). Then, the jet of the smooth family of bisections $(\operatorname{Graph}(\zeta_t)_{t \in I})$ is given by the series $\zeta_0 + B^{t\xi}(e)(\zeta_0)$ in $\Omega^1(M)[[t]]$. Since $B^{t\xi}(e) \in J_{\xi}^{\infty}[[t]]$. This proposition also tells us what J_{ξ}^{∞} stands for. Namely, it is the space of Taylor coefficients of a formal solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

The representation of the Hamilton-Jacobi flow with trees allows us to conveniently provide an explicit expansion of ζ_t at any order. These calculations are called Farmer series in [18], where Hamiltonian Poisson integrators were implemented. Our algebraic formalism simplifies greatly the tedious calculations in [18]. Using our construction of the appropriate Butcher series provided by Proposition 3.12, we find directly

$$\zeta_{t} = \mathbb{F}^{\xi} \Big(\operatorname{id} + t_{\bullet} + \frac{t^{2}}{2} \mathbf{I} + \frac{t^{3}}{3!} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V}) + \frac{t^{4}}{4!} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{V} + 3\mathbf{V} + \mathbf{V}) + \dots \Big) (\zeta_{0}) \\
= \zeta_{0} + \zeta_{0}^{*} \Big(t\xi + \frac{t^{2}}{2} [\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi] + \frac{t^{3}}{3!} ([\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} [\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi]] + [[\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi], \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi] \Big) \\
+ \frac{t^{4}}{4!} \Big([\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} [\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} [\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi]] + [\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} [\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi], \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi] \Big) \\
+ 3[[\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} [\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi]], \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi] + [[[\xi, \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi], \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi], \pi^{*} \zeta_{0}^{*} \xi] \Big) + \dots \Big).$$

Note that we recover in particular the equations (2.11) and (2.12).

3.3 Occurrence of degeneracies

As explicit formulas for birealisations are rarely available, numerical approximations often rely on approximate birealisation, such as the Karasev birealisation [36, 9]. Such construction brings degeneracies in our Taylor expansions, for which we provide a detailed description here.

Following [18], let $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$, $\xi = \alpha^* \theta$ and $\zeta_0 = 0$. In this section, we assume further the following degeneracy for the source map α :

$$0^* \left[\alpha^* \theta, \pi^* \theta \right] = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Remark 3.13. Let us pick cotangent coordinates (q,p) on T^*M . Degeneracy (3.6) occurs, for instance, when the differential of α along fibers of T^*M at zero $(\partial_{p_j}\alpha_i(q,0))_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n}$ is antisymmetric. In the Karasev birealisation, for all $1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n$, $\partial_{p_j}\alpha_i(q,0)=\{q_i,q_j\}$. An interested reader might consult [10, eq. (3.6)] for more insights on this particular case.

This degeneracy implies that the differential associated to some specific trees vanishes, in the spirit of superconvergence (see, e.g., [34]).

Theorem 3.14. Let $T_0 \subset T$ the subset of trees that do not have a descendant of the form $\ Let (\zeta_t)_t \in (\Omega^1(M))^I$ be the solution of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (2.5) under the degeneracy condition (3.6), with initial condition $\zeta_0 = 0$. Then, its Taylor expansion is given by the formal series with coefficients being closed forms

$$B^{t\alpha^*\theta}(e_0)(0) = \sum_{\tau \in T_0} \frac{e(\tau)}{\sigma(\tau)} \mathbb{F}^{t\alpha^*\theta}(\tau)(0) \in \Omega_0^1(M)[[t]],$$

where $e_0 \in \mathcal{T}^*$ is given by $e_0(\tau) = e(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{T_0}$ and e satisfies (3.5).

We illustrate Theorem 3.14 in the case $\theta = dH$. Indeed, we are now able to compute straightforwardly the Farmer series of [18] at high order. Let $(S_t)_t \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M \times I)$ be a generating function of a Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$ provided by equation (2.7). Let us set $\zeta_t = \mathrm{d}S_t$ for $t \in I$. We write the expansion of ζ up to order 5:

4 Composition of Lagrangian bisections and B-series

In this section, we use Butcher series theory to provide a combinatorial description of the group law of jets of bisections. More precisely, we prove that the product of jets of bisections is encoded by the composition of B-series and the Butcher-Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra in the sense of Theorem 4.3. The occurrence of this Hopf algebra in the framework of symplectic groupoids is new. Our analysis relies heavily on the various notions constructed in Section 2.3.

Let us consider the symmetric tensor algebra $(S(\mathcal{T}), \cdot)$ over trees, that is the vector space spanned by forests, with its unit being the empty forest 1. Let the Butcher-Connes-Kreimer coproduct $\Delta_{BCK} \colon \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}$ be given by

$$\Delta_{BCK}(\tau) = \sum_{s \subset \tau} (\tau \backslash s) \otimes s,$$

where the sum is indexed on all the subtrees of τ that contain the root (including the empty tree). One finds for instance

$$\Delta_{BCK}(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}$$

$$\Delta_{BCK}(\mathbf{V}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{V} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1$$

The coproduct is extended on $S(\mathcal{T})$ by multiplicativity: for any $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{T}$, $\Delta_{BCK}(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) = \Delta_{BCK}(\tau_1) \cdot \Delta_{BCK}(\tau_2)$. Then, it is well-known that $(S(\mathcal{T}), \mathbf{1}, \cdot, \mathbf{1}^*, \Delta_{BCK})$ yields the BCK Hopf algebra [16, 25], used in particular to represent differential operators.

We call character a linear form $a \in S(\mathcal{T})^*$ that satisfies $a(\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2) = a(\tau_1)a(\tau_2)$ and we denote the product $\mu \colon \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$. Note that given $a \in \mathcal{T}^*$, there is a unique way to extend a as a character on $S(\mathcal{T})$.

The composition of two B-series is detailed by the BCK Hopf algebra [15]. Note that it applies for any Taylor expansion over J_{ξ}^{∞} , not just for the exact Hamilton-Jacobi flow.

Proposition 4.1. For any $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{T}^*$, we set

$$a^1 * a^2 = \mu \circ (a^1 \otimes a^2) \circ \Delta_{BCK}$$
.

This turns the set $G_B = \{a \in \mathcal{T}^*, a(\bullet) = 1\}$, equipped with *, into a group, called the Butcher group, with unit δ_{\bullet} .

From the example (4.1), we find, for instance,

$$a^{1} * a^{2}(\mathbf{V}) = a_{2}(\mathbf{V}) + a_{1}(\bullet)a_{2}(\mathbf{V}) + a_{1}(\bullet)a_{2}(\mathbf{I}) + a_{1}(\bullet)^{2}a_{2}(\mathbf{I}) + a_{1}(\bullet)a_{2}(\mathbf{I}) + a_{1}(\bullet)a_{2}(\mathbf{I})$$

$$+ a_{1}(\mathbf{I})a_{2}(\mathbf{I}) + a_{1}(\bullet)a_{1}(\mathbf{I})a_{2}(\bullet) + a_{1}(\mathbf{V})$$

The product on G_B defines by duality a product on Butcher series: for any $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$ and a_1 , $a_2 \in \mathcal{T}^*$, denoting by $B^{\xi}(a^1)$ and $B^{\xi}(a^2)$ their B-series respectively, their composition is defined by

$$B^{\xi}(a^1) \circ B^{\xi}(a^2) := B^{\xi}(a^1 * a^2). \tag{4.2}$$

The following proposition is the analog of [15, Thm 3.1] in our context. We use the terminology introduced in the example 2.9, meaning that for any $(\zeta_t)_{t\in I} \in \Omega^1(M)^I$ such that $\zeta_t \in \Omega^{1,\mathcal{G}}(M)$ for all $t \in I$, we identify the jet of the smooth family of bisections $\left(\operatorname{Graph}(\zeta_t)\right)_{t\in I}$ with the Taylor series of $(\zeta_t)_t$ with respect to t.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$ and $a^1, a^2 \in \mathcal{T}^*$. We set $\mathcal{J}^{L^1} \in \mathbb{B}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{L^2} \in \mathbb{B}$ to be the jets of bisections corresponding to the graphs of $B^{t \cdot \alpha^* \theta}(a^1)$ and $B^{t \cdot \alpha^* \theta}(a^2)$ respectively. Then, the Butcher series, evaluated at $0 \in \Omega^{1,\mathcal{G}}(M)$, $\left(B^{t \cdot \alpha^* \theta}(a^1) \circ B^{t \cdot \alpha^* \theta}(a^2)\right)(0)$ is the jet of bisections $\mathcal{J}^{L^1} \cdot \mathcal{J}^{L^2} \in \mathbb{B}$.

The following theorem relates this proposition with equation (2.6) and states that the Butcher group encodes the product of jets of bisections in a local symplectic groupoid.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$ and the map $\Psi^{\xi} \colon G_B \to \Omega^1(M)[[t]]$ be given by

$$\Psi^{\xi}(a) = B^{t\xi}(a)(0).$$

Then:

- For any $\theta \in \Omega^1(M)$, $\Psi^{\alpha^*\theta}: (G_{B,*}) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{B}, \cdot)$ is an injective group morphism.
- For any $\theta \in \Omega_0^1(M)$, $\Psi^{\alpha^*\theta} : (G_B, *) \hookrightarrow (\overline{\mathbb{L}}, \cdot)$ is an injective group morphism.
- For any $H \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$, $\Psi^{\alpha^*dH} : (G_B, *) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{L}, \cdot)$ is an injective group morphism.

Proof. The maps are well defined thanks to Section 2. The group morphism properties are provided by Proposition 4.2 and their injectivity follows from the definition of a Butcher series.

We considered for simplicity jet spaces generated by one form ξ (see also remark 3.6) and our Butcher series are devoted to the approximation of one chosen smooth family of bisections. It is worth mentioning that one can extend the previous formalism for flows driven by a finite amount of forms $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$ by using decorated nodes. We sketch the construction and illustrate it here for n=2. The jet space of the section 3 becomes $J_{\xi_1,\ldots,\xi_n}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{E}$ and is represented by the algebra of decorated trees spanned by $\bullet_1, \ldots, \bullet_n$. The previously described algebra adapts in this setting, in the spirit of P-series for partitioned problems [29].

For instance, let us consider $J_{\xi_1,\xi_2}^{\infty} \in \mathcal{E}$ and bi-coloured trees where \bullet stands for $\xi_1 \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$ and \circ stands for $\xi_2 \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$. Let us compose the B-series $B^{t\xi_1}(\delta_{\bullet}) \in J_{\xi_1}^{\infty}$ and $B^{t\xi_2}(\delta_{\circ}) \in J_{\xi_2}^{\infty}$. The composition $B^{t\xi_2}(\delta_{\circ}) \circ B^{t\xi_1}(\delta_{\bullet}) \in J_{\xi_1,\xi_2}^{\infty}$ is computed with the BCK Hopf algebra as in the equation (4.2) and we find

$$\begin{split} \Big(B^{t\xi_2}(\delta_{\mathbf{o}}) \circ B^{t\xi_1}(\delta_{\mathbf{o}})\Big)(\zeta_0) &= B^{t\xi_1,t\xi_2}\Big(\delta_{\mathbf{o}} * \delta_{\mathbf{o}}\Big)(\zeta_0) \\ &= \mathbb{F}^{t\xi_1,t\xi_2}\Big(_{\mathbf{o}} + _{\mathbf{o}} + _{\mathbf{o}}^2 + \frac{1}{2!} \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{3!} \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{4!} \mathbf{v} + \dots \Big)(\zeta_0) \\ &= \zeta_0^*\Big(t(\xi_1 + \xi_2) + t^2[\xi_1, \pi^*\zeta_0^*\xi_2] + \frac{t^3}{2!}[[\xi_1, \pi^*\zeta_0^*\xi_2], \pi^*\zeta_0^*\xi_2] + \dots \Big). \end{split}$$

5 Numerical methods for Hamiltonian systems on Poisson manifolds

Using the pre-Lie structure of Butcher trees, we present a new class of Hamiltonian Poisson integrators based on Taylor and Runge-Kutta discretisations. We discretize the dynamics of the equation (2.1) generated by a Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$. Therefore, we are interested in this section in the previous constructions with $\xi = \alpha^* dH \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$, the initial condition $\zeta_0 = 0 \in \Omega^{1,\mathcal{G}}(M)$, with and without the degeneracy condition (3.6).

We emphasize that the framework of pre-Lie algebras and Butcher series is a central tool for the numerical integration of ODEs in \mathbb{R}^d . The surprising effect of the birealisations is that they translate a Poisson geometry into a simpler geometry on $\Omega^1(M)$, where one can apply numerical tools similar to the Euclidean context.

5.1 Hamiltonian Poisson integrators by truncation of Taylor series

We want to obtain Hamiltonian Poisson integrators of arbitrary order N. Let us denote T^N (resp. T_0^N) the subset of T (resp. T_0) containing trees of order at most N. Let $e^N(\tau) = e(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\tau \in T^N}$ and $e_0^N(\tau) = e(\tau) \mathbb{1}_{\tau \in T^N}$ be restrictions of the coefficient maps of Proposition 3.12, that truncate the formal series of the previous sections into finite sums. For instance, for t small enough, the B-series of the equation (3.4) becomes a locally well-defined 1-form³: $B^{t\alpha^*dH}(e^N)(0) \in \Omega^1(M)$. Evaluating this 1-form at any $x \in M$, we obtain a well-defined point $B_x^{t\alpha^*dH}(e^N)(0) \in \mathcal{G}$ (and analogously for $B_x^{t\alpha^*dH}(e_0^N)$). Based on truncations of the expansion (3.7) of the Hamilton-Jacobi flow (3.1), we construct numerical methods for this flow and, in turn, approximate the

 $^{^{3}}$ We can get rid of the issue of constructing a globally well-defined 1-form by considering the time-step as an infinitesimal parameter.

Hamiltonian dynamics on M. The following theorem is devoted to approximate the dynamics generated by a Hamiltonian $H \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$.

Theorem 5.1. The following Taylor-Hamiltonian-Poisson integrator is of order N for solving equation (2.1):

$$y_n = \alpha \Big(B_{x_n}^{\Delta t \cdot \alpha^* dH} (e^N)(0) \Big), \tag{5.1}$$

$$y_{n+1} = \beta \Big(B_{x_n}^{\Delta t \cdot \alpha^* dH} (e^N)(0) \Big), \tag{5.2}$$

where Δt is the timestep of the method,

$$B^{\Delta t \cdot \alpha^* dH} (e^N)(0) : \begin{array}{ccc} M & \to & \mathcal{G} \\ x & \mapsto & B_x^{\Delta t \cdot \alpha^* dH} (e^N)(0) \end{array}$$

is the map provided by the Butcher series associated to α^*dH , and $x_n \in M$ denotes an intermediary point implicitly defined by equation (5.1). In addition, under the degeneracy condition (3.6), replacing e^N by e_0^N in (5.1)-(5.2) yields a method of order N.

By their very constructions, these methods are Hamiltonian Poisson integrators: they follow the flow of some time-dependent Hamiltonian. Therefore, they stay on a symplectic leaf and preserve any Casimir all along a trajectory. The following method has been benchmarked in [19, Sec. 5.2.] on a Lotka-Volterra system in a neighborhood of a singularity.

Example 5.2 (Euler method). The simplest method is the Euler method, given by Theorem 5.1 for N = 1. It is of first order and the associated iteration is

$$y_n = \alpha(\Delta t \cdot d_{x_n} H), \quad y_{n+1} = \beta(\Delta t \cdot d_{x_n} H).$$

Under the degeneracy condition (3.6), this method is of order N=2.

In [19], a Hamiltonian Poisson integrator of order 2 is benchmarked on the rigid body dynamics. Theorem 5.1 provides a general framework for extending these methods to high order.

5.2 Runge-Kutta approach to Hamiltonian Poisson integrators

The number of trees in \mathcal{T}^N and \mathcal{T}_0^N blows up quickly as the order N gets larger, which makes the Taylor approach computationally expensive and often unstable. As a solution, we propose the following class of Runge-Kutta-Hamiltonian-Poisson (RKHP) approximations for the high-order approximation of equation (2.1).

$$Z_{i} = \Delta t \sum_{1 \leq j < i} a_{ij} \cdot (Z_{j}^{*} \alpha^{*} dH), \quad 1 \leq i \leq s$$

$$\psi^{\Delta t \cdot \xi} = \Delta t \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i} \cdot (Z_{i}^{*} \alpha^{*} dH) \in d\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M),$$

$$y_{n} = \alpha \left(\psi_{x_{n}}^{\Delta t \cdot \alpha^{*} dH} \right) \in M$$

$$y_{n+1} = \beta \left(\psi_{x_{n}}^{\Delta t \cdot \alpha^{*} dH} \right) \in M.$$

$$(5.3)$$

The $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i, j \leq s$, are the coefficients of the method and shall be chosen in order to reach high order of accuracy with a small number of intermediate stages $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For

simplicity, we consider explicit integrators, i.e., $a_{ij} = 0$ for $j \ge i$. The $(Z_i)_{1 \le i \le s} \in \Omega^{1,\mathcal{G}}(M)$ are all exact 1-forms on M that are explicitly defined by the first equation line. For any Δt small enough, note that the definition (5.3) relies on a map

$$\psi^{\Delta t \cdot \alpha^* dH} : \begin{array}{ccc} M & \to & \mathcal{G} \\ x & \mapsto & \psi_x^{\Delta t \cdot \alpha^* dH} : = \Delta t \cdot \sum_{i=1}^s b_i \cdot (d_x Z_i^* \alpha^* H) \end{array}$$

The coefficients are traditionally represented by their associated Butcher tableaux, with the notation $c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij}$:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b \end{array}$$

Similarly to the order theory of Runge-Kutta methods for ODEs, the Taylor expansion of $\psi^{t\xi}(\zeta_0)$ in (5.3) writes as a Butcher series

$$\psi^{t\xi} = B^{t\xi}(a)(0),$$

with the same coefficient map $a \in \mathcal{T}^*$ than for standard Runge-Kutta methods. We refer to [29, Chap. III] for the exact expression of a and an example is

$$a({}^{j} \bigvee_{i}^{m}) = \sum_{i,j,k,l,m=1}^{s} b_{i} a_{ij} a_{ik} a_{kl} a_{km} = \sum_{i,k=1}^{s} b_{i} c_{i} a_{ik} c_{k}^{2}.$$

The algebraic reformulation of Section 3 allows us to take over the classical order theory of Runge-Kutta methods for ODEs [29] and to adapt it in the context of approximations of formal solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

Theorem 5.3. Let us consider a RKHP method (5.3) with coefficient map $a \in \mathcal{T}^*$ and set $e \in \mathcal{T}^*$ as in the equation (3.5). Then, if $a(\tau) = e(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^N$, the method has order N for solving (2.1). Moreover, under the degeneracy condition (3.6), if $a(\tau) = e(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_0^N$, the method has order N for solving (2.1).

The order conditions for the first orders can be found in [29, Chap. III] in the general case and in Table 1 in the degenerate case (3.6).

Order	Butcher tree τ	Order condition $a(\tau) = e(\tau)$
1	•	$\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = 1$
3	V	$\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i c_i^2 = \frac{1}{3}$
4	¥	$\sum_{i,j=1}^{s} b_i a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{12}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i c_i^3 = \frac{1}{4}$
	V	<u> </u>
5		$\sum_{i,j,k=1}^{s} b_i a_{ij} a_{jk} c_k^2 = \frac{1}{60}$
	Y	$\sum_{i,j=1}^{s} b_i a_{ij} c_j^3 = \frac{1}{20}$
	, Y	$\sum_{i,j=1}^{s} b_i c_i a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{15}$
		$\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i c_i^4 = \frac{1}{5}$

Table 1: Order conditions of RKHP integrators under the degeneracy condition (3.6).

Remark 5.4. The idea of approximating birealisations at high order has been explored by [9], where a procedure to asymptotically compute the source map $\alpha \colon \mathcal{G} \to M$ is investigated. This relies on a long history research of deformation theory in order to construct the local symplectic groupoid of any Poisson manifold [13, 8]. We expect a relation between the order of the approximation of the birealisation and the one of the dynamics to provide robust numerical methods.

In the case of a general birealisation, a collection of explicit RKHP integrators can be derived straightforwardly from the standard Runge-Kutta integrators from [29]. In the case of a birealisation satisfying (3.6), we propose a collection of new methods with minimal number of stages for fixed order. We emphasize that there is no need to consider discretisations associated to symplectic methods (like the midpoint method) as the geometry has been taken care of by the birealisations.

Euler method: The Euler method is a first order RKHP method (resp. second order under (3.6)).

$$y_n = \alpha(\Delta t \cdot d_{x_n} H) \qquad 0 \quad 0$$

$$y_{n+1} = \beta(\Delta t \cdot d_{x_n} H) \qquad 1$$

Third order method: Under (3.6), third order can be achieved with two stages.

Fourth order method: Under (3.6), fourth order can be achieved with three stages, that is one less stage than for the popular RK4 discretisation.

Remark 5.5. If implicit implementations are computationally feasible and (3.6) is satisfied, a one-stage third order implicit method is

$$Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Delta t \cdot dZ^* \alpha^* H$$

$$y_n = \alpha (\Delta t \cdot d_{x_n} Z^* \alpha^* H)$$

$$y_{n+1} = \beta (\Delta t \cdot d_{x_n} Z^* \alpha^* H)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \right|$$

$$1$$

Remark 5.6. The Butcher-Connes-Kreimer Hopf algebra used in Section 4 is also relevant to approximations of bisections in \mathcal{G} . Since they admit a group law, a computational consequence is the existence of composition methods. For instance, let $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$, $\zeta_0 \in \Omega^{1,\mathcal{G}}(M)$ and let the first order approximation of the Farmer series, analogous to the Euler method, be given by

$$\psi^{t\xi}(\zeta_0) := \zeta_0 + t\zeta_0^* \xi = \zeta_0 + B^{t\xi}(\delta_{\bullet})(\zeta_0).$$

Then the explicit midpoint method is the composition

$$\hat{\psi}^{t\xi}(\zeta_0) := (\psi^{t\xi} \circ \psi^{t\xi/2})(\zeta_0)$$

$$= \zeta_0 + t\xi \Big(\zeta_0 + \frac{t}{2} \cdot \zeta_0^* \xi\Big)$$

$$= \zeta_0 + B^{t\xi} \Big(\delta_{\bullet} * (\delta_{\bullet}/2)\Big)(\zeta_0)$$

$$= \zeta_0 + t\zeta_0^* \xi + \frac{t^2}{2} \zeta_0^* [\xi, \pi^* \zeta_0^* \xi] + \frac{t^3}{8} \zeta_0^* [[\xi, \pi^* \zeta_0^* \xi], \pi^* \zeta_0^* \xi] + \dots$$

We observe in particular that $\hat{\psi}$ provides a second order approximation for a general $\xi \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G})$, similarly to the context of ODEs.

6 Conclusion

The algebraic tools of geometric integration extend for the study of Poisson geometry. In the framework of symplectic groupoids, they bring new insights, from both geometric and computational viewpoints. Some possible extensions of the present work could include the creation of a stability analysis in the context of Hamiltonian Poisson integrators. For instance, steep dynamical systems of conservative mechanics may benefit from the formalism we introduced. We also plan to implement implicit methods and benchmark their orders in order to provide numerical illustrations of our algebraic results. This will deserve a more mechanics oriented article. On the algebraic side, we expect this work to open many perspectives. A natural extension could adapt the Hopf algebra of substitution for the backward error analysis in this context. At last, a more general geometric context could yield post-Lie algebras. This is matter for future work.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Anton Fehnker, Camille Laurent-Gengoux, Jean-David Jacques, Chenchang Zhu, the AGATA seminar of Montpellier and the Oberseminar on algebraic topology of Göttingen for helpful discussions. The authors acknowledge the support of the French program ANR-11-LABX-0020-0 (Labex Lebesgue) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft RTG 2491.

References

- [1] I. M. Anderson. The variational bicomplex. Unpublished, 1989.
- [2] E. Bronasco, A. Busnot Laurent, and B. Huguet. High order integration of stochastic dynamics on Riemannian manifolds with frozen-flow methods. arXiv:2503.21855, 2025.
- [3] E. Bronasco and A. Laurent. Hopf algebra structures for the backward error analysis of ergodic stochastic differential equations. arXiv:2407.07451, 2024.
- [4] K. Burrage and P. M. Burrage. High strong order explicit Runge-Kutta methods for stochastic ordinary differential equations. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 22(1-3):81–101, 1996. Special issue celebrating the centenary of Runge-Kutta methods.
- [5] A. Busnot Laurent, K. Debrabant, and A. Kværnø. Optimal stochastic Runge-Kutta methods for the weak integration of stochastic dynamics. *Preprint*, 2025.
- [6] J. C. Butcher. An algebraic theory of integration methods. Math. Comp., 26:79–106, 1972.
- [7] J. C. Butcher. B-series: algebraic analysis of numerical methods. Springer, 2021.

- [8] A. Cabrera. Generating functions for local symplectic groupoids and non-perturbative semiclassical quantization. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 2022.
- [9] A. Cabrera, D. M. de Diego, and M. Vaquero. Approximating symplectic realizations: A general framework for the construction of Poisson integrators, 2024.
- [10] A. Cabrera and B. Dherin. Formal symplectic realizations. *International Mathematical Research Notices*, 7:1925–1950, 2016.
- [11] A. Cabrera, I. Mărcuţ, and M. A. Salazar. On local integration of lie brackets. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2020(760):267–293, 2020.
- [12] A. Cannas da Silva and A. Weinstein. Geometric models for noncommutative algebras, volume 10 of Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Berkeley Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics, Berkeley, CA, 1999.
- [13] A. S. Cattaneo, B. Dherin, and G. Felder. Formal symplectic groupoid. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 253:645–674, 2005.
- [14] A. S. Cattaneo, B. Dherin, and G. Felder. Formal Lagrangian operad. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 2010(1):643605, 2010.
- [15] P. Chartier, E. Hairer, and G. Vilmart. Algebraic structures of B-series. Found. Comput. Math., 10(4):407–427, 2010.
- [16] A. Connes and D. Kreimer. Hopf algebras, renormalization and noncommutative geometry. Comm. Math. Phys., 199(1):203–242, 1998.
- [17] O. Cosserat. Symplectic groupoids for Poisson integrators. *Journal of Geometry and Physics*, 2023.
- [18] O. Cosserat. Theory and construction of structure preserving integrators in Poisson geometry. PhD thesis, La Rochelle Université, 2023.
- [19] O. Cosserat, C. Laurent-Gengoux, and V. Salnikov. Numerical methods in Poisson geometry and their application to mechanics. *Mathematics and Mechanics of Solids*, 2024.
- [20] A. Coste, P. Dazord, and A. Weinstein. Groupoïdes symplectiques. *Publications du Département de Mathématiques de Lyon*, 1987.
- [21] M. Crainic, R. Loja Fernandes, and I. Mărcuţ. Lectures on Poisson geometry. *American Mathematical Society*, 2021.
- [22] J.-P. Dufour and N. Tien Zung. *Poisson Structures and their Normal Forms*. Birkhäuser Verlag, 2005.
- [23] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, A. Lundervold, and H. Z. Munthe-Kaas. On the Lie enveloping algebra of a post-Lie algebra. *J. Lie Theory*, 25(4):1139–1165, 2015.
- [24] S. Ferraro, M. de León, J. C. Marrero, D. M. de Diego, and M. Vaquero. On the geometry of the Hamilton–Jacobi equation and generating functions. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 2017.
- [25] L. Foissy. Introduction to Hopf algebra of rooted trees. *Preprint*.

- [26] Z. Ge. Generating functions, Hamilton-Jacobi equations and symplectic groupoids on Poisson manifolds. *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, 1990.
- [27] E. Grong, H. Z. Munthe-Kaas, and J. Stava. Post-Lie algebra structure of manifolds with constant curvature and torsion. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.02688, 2023.
- [28] M. Gubinelli. Ramification of rough paths. J. Differential Equations, 248(4):693–721, 2010.
- [29] E. Hairer, C. Lubich, and G. Wanner. Geometric numerical integration, volume 31 of Springer Series in Computational Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2006. Structure-preserving algorithms for ordinary differential equations.
- [30] E. Hairer and G. Wanner. On the Butcher group and general multi-value methods. *Computing (Arch. Elektron. Rechnen)*, 13(1):1–15, 1974.
- [31] M. Hairer and D. Kelly. Geometric versus non-geometric rough paths. Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat., 51(1):207–251, 2015.
- [32] E. Hawkins. A groupoid approach to quantization. *Journal of Symplectic Geometry*, 6(1):61 125, 2008.
- [33] A. Iserles, H. Z. Munthe-Kaas, S. P. Nørsett, and A. Zanna. Lie-group methods. In Acta numerica, 2000, volume 9 of Acta Numer., pages 215–365. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [34] L. Jay. Symplectic partitioned Runge-Kutta methods for constrained Hamiltonian systems. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 33(1):368–387, 1996.
- [35] A. V. Karabegov. Formal symplectic groupoid of a deformation quantization. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 258:223–256, 2005.
- [36] M. V. Karaseff. Analogues of the objects of Lie group theory for nonlinear Poisson brackets. Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya, 1987.
- [37] A. Laurent and G. Vilmart. Exotic aromatic B-series for the study of long time integrators for a class of ergodic SDEs. *Math. Comp.*, 89(321):169–202, 2020.
- [38] A. Laurent and G. Vilmart. Order conditions for sampling the invariant measure of ergodic stochastic differential equations on manifolds. *Found. Comput. Math.*, 22(3):649–695, 2022.
- [39] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, volume 218 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, second edition, 2013.
- [40] P. Libermann and C.-M. Marle. Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1987.
- [41] A. Lundervold and H. Munthe-Kaas. Hopf algebras of formal diffeomorphisms and numerical integration on manifolds. In *Combinatorics and physics*, volume 539 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 295–324. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [42] R. I. McLachlan, K. Modin, H. Munthe-Kaas, and O. Verdier. Butcher series: a story of rooted trees and numerical methods for evolution equations. *Asia Pac. Math. Newsl.*, 7(1):1–11, 2017.

- [43] P. J. Olver. Applications of Lie groups to differential equations, volume 107 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1993.
- [44] A. Weinstein. Symplectic manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, 1971.
- [45] A. Weinstein and P. Xu. Extensions of symplectic groupoids and quantization. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 417:159–190, 1991.
- [46] S. Zakrzewski. Quantum and classical pseudogroups. part i. union pseudogroups and their quantization. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 134(2):347–370, 1990.